

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,580	09/17/2003	Charles Watts	EXCEL.012CP1	9165	
75	90 10/19/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
GAZDZINSKI & ASSOCIATES			NGUYEN, TUYEN T		
Suite 375 11440 West Bernardo Court			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA	92127		2832		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			8/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/666,580	WATTS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,10,19-21 and 26-38 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,10,19-21 and 26-38 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	are: a)∭ accepted or b)⊠ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	·1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/06/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the second substantially insulating coating coats at least a portion of said device and at least a portion of said plurality of turns must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 19-21 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 5, applicant should clarify the structure/arrangement of the device relative to the conditions of the inductance characteristic.

Regarding claim 19, applicant should clarify structure/arrangement of the device intended by "wherein said inductance characteristic comprises an inductance value associated with an "on-hook" current is substantially larger than the inductance value associated with an "off-hook" current."

Regarding claim 20, applicant should clarify structure/arrangement of the configurations to provide inductance characteristic.

Regarding claim 32, applicant should clarify structure/arrangement of the second insulating coating.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 5, 10 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aldridge et al. [US 4,199,744].

Aldridge et al. discloses an inductive device [figure 1] comprising:

- a toroidal magnetic core [10] having at least one gap formed therein;

Application/Control Number: 10/666,580

Art Unit: 2832

- at least one magnetic permeable metal element [14, 15] adapted to bridge at least a portion of the at least one gap; and

- at least one insulator [17, 18] disposed proximate to the magnetic element.

wherein the magnetic element formed of a folded thin sheet magnetic material and the insulator cooperating with the folded magnetic element being inserted into the gap such that portions of the element physically contact the core.

Aldridge et al. inherently discloses at least one winding wound about the core.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6, 19-21 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aldridge et al.

Regarding claims 3-4, 21 and 38, the specific arrangement of the coil, the specific shape of the gap and the specific size of the gap would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended application/environment use.

Regarding claims 5-6 and 19-20, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the inductive device of Aldridge et al. in a telecommunication circuit in order to obtain the desired inductance characteristic.

Claims 26-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hare [US 2,585,932] in view of Yoshimori et al. [US 6,512,438 B1].

Application/Control Number: 10/666,580

Art Unit: 2832

832

Hare discloses an inductive device [figure 1] comprising:

- a toroidal magnetic core [2] having a gap [10] formed therein;

- at least one winding [4] wound about the magnetic core; and

- a magnetic tape [12] disposed over the gap of the magnetic core and bridging two end

poles [6, 8] and completing the magnetic circuit.

Hare discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific coating of the toroidal

core.

Yoshimori et al. discloses a magnetic toroidal core [11] having at least one gap [G], an

insulating layer [12, 13] cover the magnetic core, and at least one winding [2] wound about the

magnetic core.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to use insulating layer design of Yoshimori et al. in Hare et al. for the

purpose of providing insulation.

Regarding claims 28 and 35, the specific method/material use for the insulating

layer/coating would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of facilitating

manufacturing.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TW

Tuylu T. Ngruylu